UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

,		Case No. **-cv-**-*** -JFD
v.	Plaintiff,	RULE 26(f) REPORT [TEMPLATE]
***,		
	Defendant.	
The partion of the Local Ru		conferred as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) prepared the following report.

DESCRIPTION OF THE CASE

- 1. Concise factual summary of plaintiff's claims:
- 2. Concise factual summary of defendant's claims/defenses:
- 3. Statement of jurisdiction (including statutory citations):
- 4. Summary of factual stipulations or agreements:
- 5. Statement of whether a jury trial has been timely demanded by any party:
- 6. Statement as to whether the parties agree to resolve the matter under the Rules of Procedure for Expedited Trials of the United States District Court, District of Minnesota, if applicable:
- 7. Has all process been served and have all pleadings been filed?
- 8. Does any party have plans to seek to amend the pleadings or add additional parties to the action, and if so, what are those plans?

FACT DISCOVERY

Having conferred about the unique needs of this case, and mindful of the goals of justice, efficiency, proportionality, and inexpensiveness, the parties recommend that the Court establish the following fact-discovery deadlines and limitations:

1.	The parties must make their initial disclosures under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) on or before If the parties plan to disclose documents by a description by category and location of documents, they will exchange copies of initial disclosure documents on or before		
2.	The parties must commence fact discovery in time to be completed by The parties will discuss whether a date for the substantial production of documents should be set within the fact-discovery period to facilitate the taking of depositions.		
3.	The parties have discussed the scope of discovery, including relevance and proportionality, and propose that the Court limit the number of discovery procedures as follows:		
	a interrogatories		
	b document requests		
	The parties understand that objections to document requests must meet the requirements of amended Rule 34(b)(2)(B). If the responding party is producing copies of documents or copies of electronically stored information and the copies are not produced with the responses, another reasonable time must be specified in the response. If the requesting party disagrees that this is reasonable, the parties must meet and confer to agree on the timetable for production.		
	c requests for admission		
	The parties have discussed a protocol for the authentication of documents and agree on the following:		
	d fact depositions shall be taken. This total does not include expert depositions but does include depositions of organizational-designee depositions taken pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6). An organizational-designee deposition shall count as 1 deposition,		

irrespective of the number of witnesses designated.

e.	The parties have discussed the taking of depositions pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) and present the following agreement:	
f.	Rule 35 medical examinations, which will be completed by	
g.	If the parties use the form protective order on the Court's website as a template for their own protective order, they should submit both the agreed-on protective order and a redline showing deviations from the Court's form order. (If the only change to the Court's form order is a change of caption and the insertion of the case name and file number as appropriate in the body of the protective order, then no redline need be submitted.)	
h.	other	
EXPERT DISCOV	ERY	
1. The pa	arties anticipate that they [will/will not] require expert witnesses at the f trial.	
a.	The plaintiff anticipates calling (number) initial experts in the fields of:	
b.	The defendant anticipates calling (number) initial experts in the fields of:	
2. The podiscov	arties propose that the Court establish the following plan for expert very:	
a.	Initial experts	
	i. The identity of any expert who may testify at trial regarding issues on which the party has the burden of persuasion must be disclosed on or before	
	ii. The initial expert written report completed in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) must be served on or before	
b.	Rebuttal experts	

		i. The identity of any experts who may testify in rebuttal to any initial expert must be disclosed on or before		
		ii. Any rebuttal expert's written report completed in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) must be served on or before		
	3.	All expert discovery, including expert depositions, must be completed by		
OTHER I	DIS	SCOVERY ISSUES		
	1.	Protective Order		
		The parties have discussed whether they believe a protective order is necessary to govern discovery and jointly submit a [proposed protective order/report identifying any areas of disagreement].		
		(The parties are encouraged, though not required, to use protective order template available on the District's website as a template for a proposed protective order.)		
	2.	Discovery of Electronically Stored Information		
		The parties have discussed the scope of electronic discovery including relevance and proportionality, and any issues about preserving potentially discoverable electronic information. The parties have also discussed the form or forms in which electronic discovery should be produced. They inform the Court of the following agreements or issues:		
		The Court refers counsel to "Discussion of Electronic Discovery a Rule 26(f) Conferences: A Guide for Practitioners," developed by the Federal Practice Committee, to help attorneys and parties prepare for a meaningful discussion of electronic discovery issues early in the litigation. The Guide is available on the Court's website under the Court Forms tab, in the "Pretrial, Discovery, and Trial Forms" section The Guide should be read in advance of the Rule 26(f) Conference.		

The parties will further meet and confer by ______ to discuss their plan or formal protocol for electronic discovery. They agree to

present any disputes regarding an electronic discovery plan and protocol to the Court by
3. Claims of Privilege or Protection
The parties have discussed issues regarding the protection of information by a privilege or the work-product doctrine, as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3)(D). This discussion included whether the parties agree to a procedure to assert these claims after production or have any other agreements under Fed. R. Evidence 502. The parties:
a. Request the Court to include the following agreement in the scheduling order; or
b. Will include their agreement in the proposed Protective Order
PROPOSED MOTION SCHEDULE
The parties propose the following deadlines for filing motions:
1. Motions seeking to join other parties must be filed and served by
2. Motions seeking to amend the pleadings must be filed and served by
3. Non-dispositive motions:
a. All non-dispositive motions relating to fact discovery must be filed and served by
b. All other non-dispositive motions, including motions relating to expert discovery, must be filed and served by
The parties must meet and confer to resolve all discovery disputes and other non-dispositive issues prior to filing any motions.
4. All dispositive motions must either be filed by, or filed and heard by, depending on the preferences of the district judge,
TRIAL-READY DATE
1. The parties agree that the case will be ready for trial on or after
2. The anticipated length of the [select one – bench/jury] trial isdays

3. The parties propose that	at the final pretrial conference be held on or before
INSURANCE CARRIERS/INDEM	INITORS
List all insurance carriers/in defendant or a statement that the defe	demnitors, including limits of coverage of each endant is self-insured:
SETTLEMENT	
	Conference, Magistrate Judge Docherty will ask the nal timing of a settlement conference in this case.
TRIAL BY MAGISTRATE JUDG	E
Judge under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). (If t Rule 26(f) Report.)	agreed to consent to jurisdiction by the Magistrate he parties agree to consent, file the consent with the
DATE:	Plaintiff's Counsel
	License #
	Address
	Phone #
DATE:	
	Defendant's Counsel
	License #
	Address
	Phone #